IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

DONALD FOXWORTH

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION

v.

FILE NO. 1:11-cv-4299-CC-AJB

GREYSTONE ALLIANCE, LLC,

Defendant.

DEFENDANT GREYSTONE ALLIANCE, LLC'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, Greystone Alliance, LLC, Defendant in the abovereferenced action (hereinafter "Defendant"), and files this Answer in response to the Plaintiff's Amended Complaint as follows:

FIRST DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Amended Complaint fails to state a claim against Defendant upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND DEFENSE

Any act or omission by Defendants, if determined to be in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C § 1692 *et seq.*, was not intentional and was the result of a *bona fide* error notwithstanding the maintenance of procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such error. Accordingly, Defendant would have no liability pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(c).

THIRD DEFENSE

Plaintiff has not been damaged; therefore, Plaintiff may not recover against Defendant.

FOURTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are or may be subject to an arbitration agreement requiring him to submit his claims to mandatory and binding arbitration. If so, Defendant will exercise its right to arbitration under the agreement, which is specifically enforceable pursuant to the Federal Arbitration Act, 9 U.S.C. Section 1, *et. seq.*

FIFTH DEFENSE

Subject to the foregoing defenses and without waiving same, Defendant responds to the individually numbered paragraphs of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. Defendant admits that Plaintiff's claims asserted under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq., raise federal questions.
- 2. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and therefore denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

PARTIES

- 3. Defendant admits Donald Foxworth is the Plaintiff in the above styled action. Defendant lacks knowledge as to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 4. Defendant admits that Greystone Alliance, LLC, has an office at 33 Dodge Road, Getzville, New York 14068 and further admits that a purpose of its business includes the collection of unpaid accounts.

VENUE

- 5. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 6. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 8. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 9. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

- 10. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 11. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 12. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 13. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 14. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

 To the extent the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 require Defendant to state a legal conclusion, Defendant denies same.
- 15. The allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint relate to a legal conclusion to which Defendant is under no known obligation to respond. To the extent a response is necessary, Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

Count I VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT 47 U.S.C. § 227 WILLFUL NON-COMPLIANCE BY DEFENDANT

16. Defendant incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Answer as though fully stated herein.

- 17. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 18. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 19. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

Count II VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 BY DEFENDANT GREYSTONE ALLIANCE, LLC

- 20. Defendant incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Answer as though fully stated herein.
- 21. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 22. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 23. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 24. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.
- 25. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

- 26. The statements contained in Paragraph 26 of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint require no response on the part of Defendant.
- 27. Defendant denies any and all remaining allegations contained in Plaintiff's Amended Complaint not specifically admitted herein.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that Plaintiff's Amended Complaint be dismissed with prejudice; that judgment be granted to Defendant and that the relief requested by Plaintiff be denied; that all costs be taxed to Plaintiff; and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

This 27th day of April 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

BEDARD LAW GROUP, P.C.

/s/ John H. Bedard Jr. John H. Bedard, Jr. Georgia Bar No. 043473 Michael K. Chapman Georgia Bar No. 322145 Kyle J. Vits Georgia Bar No. 824781

2810 Peachtree Industrial Blvd.

Suite D

Duluth, Georgia 30097

Telephone: (678) 253-1871 Facsimile: (678) 253-1873

jbedard@bedardlawgroup.com

mchapman@bedardlawgroup.com

kvits@bedardlawgroup.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

DONALD FOXWORTH

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION

v. FILE NO. 1:11-cv-4299-CC-AJB

GREYSTONE ALLIANCE, LLC,

Defendant.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this date served all parties of record with a copy of Defendant Greystone Alliance LLC's Answer to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint by depositing a copy of same in the United States Mail, with first-class postage affixed thereto, properly addressed as follows:

Donald Foxworth 3841 Kensington Road Decatur, Georgia 30032

Plaintiff Pro Se

This 27th day of April 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

BEDARD LAW GROUP, P.C.

/s/ John H. Bedard Jr. John H. Bedard, Jr. Georgia Bar No. 043473